RECEIVED.

DEC 1 9 2005

FAX COVER SHEET

Exr.	SPTO K.J. Mahafkey - Unit 1761	Date: 12	17105
Par	lerner-H. Schro ent Agent No. 36,387	reder	
Number of p	Response to	13 fint Office	Action
Jem	A: Slovedo		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Fax:

Dec 17 '05 10:03 P02

Indef the Papanumic Beduding Art of 105	U.S. Patent	Approved for use through 67/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031 t and Yademerk Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE and Information unbess it displays a valid OMB control number.
	Application Number	10/867,809
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	09/22/2003
FORM	First Named Inventor	Thomas F. Adams RECEIVED
	Art Unit	1781 CENTRAL FAX DE
(to be used for all correspondence after initial	Examiner Name	Kelly Jo. Mehalkay
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	12 Attorney Docket Number	Kelly Jo. Maherkay DEC 1.9 21
	ENCLOSURES (Check all that	apoly)
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communication to TC
		Appeal Communication to Board
Fee Attached	L. Licensing-related Papers	of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment/Reply	Pertition	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Repty Brief)
After Final	Putition to Convert to a Provisional Application	Proprietary Information
Affidevits/decigration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addres	
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer	Other Englosure(a) (please identify
<u> </u>	Request for Refund	below):
Express Abandonment Request		
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)	-1
Certified Copy of Priority	Landscepe Table on CD	
Document(s)	Response to first Office	be Action Rejection
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Attachmente: Amended para	agrapha in specification
Reply to Missing Parts Linder 37 CFR 1.02 or 1.53		
inter-5/ CPR 1.02 St 1.53		
Name	TURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNE	Y, OR AGENT
snature	and 5/mod	len-
nled name	Warner H. Schroeder	
to 12/12/2		10.
12/1/0	Reg. N	38,387
. ci	ERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/	MAIL ING
eraby certify that this correspondence is b	ting freshvile transmitted to the USPTO or d	leposited with the United States Postal Service with nts, P.O. Bex 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
viature) - 11 - 11	
	Janua H. Schwed	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or ratish a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 end1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to doublets this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT BEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

This is a response to a first Office Action

Application SN. 10/667,809

This communication is a response to a first Office Action rejection having a mailing date of 10/06/2005 and setting forth a shortened statutory period for response of three months which would expire on 01/06/2006.

The applicant has studied the examiner's DETAILED ACTION carefully with the following results:

- 1. The examiner's definition of a substitute application is correct and the observations thereof are agreed with.
 - 1 8 The results of the of the Election/Restrictions are accepted.

9 and 10a through 10i 11, and 12.

The drawing objections have been studied carefully and it is found that the drawings are correct but that the specification and the call-out numerals need to be corrected which is being done on separate pages.

13 - 15. Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102.

Claims 12- 15, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zonca. The Zonca reference teaches the use of a single compartment 10 that includes the claimed dimensions. However, applicant clearly claims a plurality of lateral compartments having overflow channels formed between the compartments that provide a path for self-levelling water between adjacent lateral compartments. None of this shown and taught by Zonca. The protrusion 20 in the single compartment does not qualify as a partition between compartments. The protrusion merely puts a dip in the ice cube the ease in breaking an ice cube into several sections. Therefore, claims 12- 15, 19 and 20 are not anticipated by Zonca and the rejection must be withdrawn. The rejection of claims 19 and 20 is not understood because claim 19 is dependent from claim 18 and claim 18 is not included in the above noted rejection.

16 - 21 rejections under 35 U.S.C 103

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zonca as applied to claims 12 - 15, 19 and 20 and in view of Copeman. It has been established above that the Zonca reference does not qualify as a base reference. However, when studying the Copeman reference, it is clear that Copeman has an entirely different concept in ejecting ice cubes from a tray down into a chute. Applicant claims " a chute means integrally formed of said tray at an orientation parallel to said length of said lateral compartment". Copeman does not have such a chute means but turns the ice tray up-side-down and the ice cube is ejected by a spring 45. Therefore, even if Zonca was a valid reference, it could not be modified by Copeman and as suggested by the examiner.

22 - 25 rejections under 35 U.S.C 103

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zonca in view of Asenbauer. The Asenbauer reference is a stackable container and has nothing to do with ice trays. The examiner states that" Asenbauer discloses a tray with a rim portion that has a first raised height in the rear portion, which extends higher than the first raised height". It would have been helpful if the examiner had identified the different heights by reference characters. Applicant cannot find any such difference in the drawings as well as in the specification. Nevertheless, since Zonca is not a valid base reference, it cannot be modified as suggested by the examiner.

26 - 29 rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zonca as applied to claims 12 - 15, 19 and 20 above, and further in view of Fan. This rejection is not understood because claim 18 is an independent claim and then why the reference back to claims 12- 15, 19 and 20. However, the examiner states that "Fan disclose of an ice tray with compartments that are aligned vertically and have an increasing girth." Fan, being a Design Patent, does not have any explanation at all

Dec 17 '05 10:03 P05

Fax:

and, therefore, the examiner's cited language cannot be found in Fan and not in the drawings. Therefore, this rejection cannot be maintained.

In view of all of the above, it is believed that all objections and rejections have been addressed and the examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the objections and rejections made and pass this application to an early indication of allowability.

If any oustanding issues remain, the examiner is respectfully requested to cell the undersigned at (239) 592-5843

Werner H. Schroeder

Reg. No. 38,387

Date: 12/17/05